

THE VIRGINIA BOND CLAIM.

It is Stricken Out of the Indian Appropriation Bill by the House.

SPEECHES BY WISE AND SWANSON.

The Fifth Virginia District Not Represented at Mahone's State Committee Meeting—Personal Notes.

THE VIRGINIA BOND CLAIM.

The provision in the Indian appropriation bill regarding the \$50,000 of Virginia bonds held by the Government was reached this afternoon.

The Virginia delegation had determined upon an amendment providing for the appointment of a commission to settle the indebtedness of the Government to Virginia for advances made by the State during the war of 1812 and for the building of the Capitol.

The States of Florida, Arkansas, South Carolina, Tennessee and Louisiana, also had claims of this character, and, together with Virginia, they agreed to make a point of order against the bill on this paragraph, on the ground that this constituted new legislation in an appropriation bill, which, under the rules, is prohibited.

The point of order was sustained by the House, and both Congressmen Swanson and Wise made vigorous speeches in its support.

Mr. Curtis, of Kansas, spoke against the bill, and said that it was his duty on the part of Virginia to pay this indebtedness that came upon the United States to pay the sum to which the Government was justly indebted to Virginia.

Both the speeches of Captain Wise and Mr. Swanson were clear and forcible, and were entirely effective. The House sustained the point of order, and the bill was stricken from the bill.

JUDGE HOLMAN'S OPPOSITION.

Judge Holman, of Indiana, has for many years been known variously as "the obnoxious dog" and "the dog in the manger."

During the last Congress he served as chairman of the Appropriations Committee and generally managed to displease every member who was not a member of any kind. The Judge seemed to imagine that the country was applauding him for his great work, though he knew that the country was not.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

He was so sure of himself that he ventured the rough draft of the appropriation bill until there was not money enough left in it to buy a summer suit.

SENATORS VOTE AGAIN.

DEBATE EXHAUSTED, ACTION IS TAKEN ON WOOL SCHEDULE.

Mr. Peffer's Amendment to Substitute the McKinley Classification on Woolen Goods Defeated—Vote 33 to 25.

WASHINGTON, June 15.—The Senate passed today from the region of debate to that of action on the wool schedule of the tariff bill.

The taking continued from 10:30 to 1:30, but none of the speeches presented anything very new or remarkable except a short one by Mr. Peffer, who made good use of his Bible reading to illustrate his view of the tariff.

He discovered in the Bible the "keeper of sheep," by Cain, "a tiller of the soil," and the "father of the flock," and he predicted that in this case, as in that, "mark would be set upon the Democrats," and they would be forced to cry out, "My punishment is greater than their sin."

This and other Biblical references and quotations were greatly enjoyed on the Republican side of the chamber and in the galleries.

A good many votes were taken upon amendments offered on the Republican side of the chamber, and while all of these amendments were rejected, the one that came very near being carried, it was an amendment offered by Mr. Peffer to substitute the McKinley classification on woolen goods, which would have placed the woolen goods on the same footing as the cotton goods.

The whole four Populists voting in the affirmative, and the amendment was defeated by a vote of 25 to 33.

Following the vote the Senate turned to another amendment of Mr. Peffer, which was pending, to put all woolen manufactures on the free list.

No action has been taken upon Mr. Peffer's amendment, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

The House has reported on the tariff bill, and the Senate is now waiting for the House to report on the tariff bill.

THE MINERS MAY ACCEPT.

McBride Uses Strong Argument to That Effect.

He Tells to What Desperate Circumstances the Strikers Have Been Reduced—Many Miners Dissatisfied.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 15.—Telegrams from mining towns in Ohio to-day indicate that the miners are coming to think better of the settlement agreement.

At Nelsonville, Sand Run, Yorkville, and Bellaire, they have resolved to accept the settlement and go to work on Monday.

President McBride this evening gave the public facts that will be more potent in inducing the miners to accept the settlement than the formal address sent Tuesday.

He showed that the miners' organization undertook the strike when it was in a weak condition; that the strike was in constant danger of going to pieces through all its course, and that the miners' organization was a weak organization.

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

THE MINERS MAY ACCEPT.

McBride Uses Strong Argument to That Effect.

He Tells to What Desperate Circumstances the Strikers Have Been Reduced—Many Miners Dissatisfied.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 15.—Telegrams from mining towns in Ohio to-day indicate that the miners are coming to think better of the settlement agreement.

At Nelsonville, Sand Run, Yorkville, and Bellaire, they have resolved to accept the settlement and go to work on Monday.

President McBride this evening gave the public facts that will be more potent in inducing the miners to accept the settlement than the formal address sent Tuesday.

He showed that the miners' organization undertook the strike when it was in a weak condition; that the strike was in constant danger of going to pieces through all its course, and that the miners' organization was a weak organization.

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

THE MINERS MAY ACCEPT.

McBride Uses Strong Argument to That Effect.

He Tells to What Desperate Circumstances the Strikers Have Been Reduced—Many Miners Dissatisfied.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 15.—Telegrams from mining towns in Ohio to-day indicate that the miners are coming to think better of the settlement agreement.

At Nelsonville, Sand Run, Yorkville, and Bellaire, they have resolved to accept the settlement and go to work on Monday.

President McBride this evening gave the public facts that will be more potent in inducing the miners to accept the settlement than the formal address sent Tuesday.

He showed that the miners' organization undertook the strike when it was in a weak condition; that the strike was in constant danger of going to pieces through all its course, and that the miners' organization was a weak organization.

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

He stated explicitly, "In the Cleveland convention when the national officers that it was a question of compromise or utter defeat."

WIMAN FOUND GUILTY.

VERDICT ACCOMPANIED WITH A RECOMMENDATION TO MERCY.

A Stay of Sentence Granted and the Fallen King of Staten Island Sent to the Tombs Without Bail.

NEW YORK, June 15.—The case against Erastus Wiman, charged with forgery, was given to the jury at 2:30 P. M. At 4:30 the jury brought in a verdict of guilty, with a recommendation to mercy.

The foreman of the jury concluded his report by saying, "I am sorry, very sorry," looking about in a dazed manner.

Lawyer Boardman, of counsel for Wiman, then asked a stay of sentence. Judge Ingraham readily granted the request, and named Wednesday, the 20th instant, at 9 o'clock, when he would pronounce sentence, unless Wiman's counsel shall meanwhile secure a further stay.

HOW HE RECEIVED THE VERDICT.

Mr. Wiman was handed over to Deputy Sheriff Brown. He received the verdict with less emotion than it was supposed he would. He was seated in the courtroom, and he was surrounded by his friends.

He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends. He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends.

He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends. He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends.

He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends. He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends.

He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends. He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends.

He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends. He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends.

He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends. He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends.

He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends. He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends.

He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends. He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends.

He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends. He was surrounded by his friends, and he was surrounded by his friends.